Frontiers in Global Health Sciences (FGHS)
Volume 1 Issue 1 (2022), 1-11

Bridging the Gap: Addressing Health Inequities in Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries

Ashley Kusher
Ashleykush@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Health inequities remain a pervasive and persistent issue globally, especially in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs). The divide in healthcare access, quality, and outcomes disproportionately affects the marginalized populations in these regions. This paper explores the multi-faceted drivers of health inequities, examines the impact of socio-economic, political, and cultural factors, and discusses key strategies to bridge the gap. The study utilizes recent data from global health agencies, and highlights successful case studies from countries that have made significant strides in addressing these inequities. Ultimately, we argue for a coordinated, evidence-based approach to addressing health inequities, considering the unique challenges faced by LMICs.

KEYWORDS

Health inequities, low-income countries, middle-income countries, global health, healthcare access, socio-economic disparities.

INTRODUCTION

Health inequities represent one of the most pressing challenges of the 21st century, especially in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs). Despite tremendous advancements in medical science and healthcare infrastructure globally, these regions continue to experience stark disparities in health outcomes. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines health inequities as avoidable and unfair differences in health status seen within and between populations, primarily caused by social, economic, and environmental inequalities. In LMICs, these disparities are exacerbated by a confluence of factors, including poverty, political instability, inadequate healthcare systems, and unequal distribution of resources (Marmot, 2020, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32943-3). The consequences are severe, ranging from high infant

mortality rates to poor maternal health and a disproportionately high burden of communicable diseases.

Globally, health inequities manifest in various ways. While high-income countries (HICs) may grapple with issues like rising healthcare costs or an aging population, LMICs face more fundamental challenges, such as limited access to basic healthcare services, chronic underfunding of healthcare infrastructure, and an acute shortage of healthcare professionals. Additionally, cultural and societal norms in LMICs often exacerbate gender-based health disparities, with women and girls disproportionately affected by poor healthcare access and outcomes (Kruk et al., 2018, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31668-4). The global response to health inequities in these regions has been fragmented, often characterized by short-term solutions rather than addressing the systemic roots of inequality.

Health inequities are driven by a complex interplay of structural determinants, including socio-economic status, education, employment, and living conditions. These determinants influence both the accessibility and quality of healthcare. For instance, individuals from lower socio-economic backgrounds in LMICs often experience reduced access to essential health services due to financial constraints or geographic barriers, such as living in rural or remote areas where healthcare infrastructure is lacking. Furthermore, even when healthcare is available, it is often of substandard quality, leading to poor health outcomes and widening the gap in health equity (Frenk & Moon, 2021, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00318-2).

The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the deep-rooted health inequities in LMICs. While high-income countries were able to mobilize resources quickly to curb the spread of the virus, many LMICs struggled to implement even basic preventive measures. The distribution of vaccines also showcased the glaring inequalities, with wealthier nations securing large stockpiles of vaccines, while LMICs faced significant delays in receiving adequate doses (Katz et al., 2021, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00253-5). This uneven distribution not only prolonged the pandemic in these regions but also exacerbated pre-existing health inequities, leading to higher mortality rates and more prolonged economic recovery periods.

To bridge this gap, there is a need for sustained investment in healthcare infrastructure, policy reform, and the empowerment of local communities in LMICs. International partnerships and

collaborations play a crucial role in providing the necessary technical and financial resources. However, these efforts must be tailored to the specific needs of each country, taking into account their unique socio-political landscapes. Moreover, addressing the broader social determinants of health—such as poverty, education, and gender inequality—will be key to achieving long-term, sustainable improvements in health equity (Solar & Irwin, 2019, DOI:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043707).

In this paper, we explore the root causes of health inequities in LMICs and examine the impact of socio-economic, political, and cultural factors on healthcare access and outcomes. We also discuss evidence-based strategies to address these disparities, drawing from recent case studies and health initiatives that have shown promise in bridging the gap. While significant progress has been made in certain areas, much more needs to be done to ensure that all individuals, regardless of their socio-economic status or geographic location, can access quality healthcare services. By analyzing the challenges and successes of different regions, we aim to contribute to the ongoing discourse on how to achieve health equity on a global scale.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Historical Context of Health Inequities in LMICs

The roots of health inequities in LMICs can be traced back to colonial legacies and the structural inequalities that emerged in the post-colonial period. Colonial powers often prioritized the development of healthcare infrastructure in urban centers while neglecting rural and marginalized communities, a trend that persists today (Chuma & Maina, 2017, DOI:10.1016/j.healthpol.2016.12.003). Post-independence, many LMICs struggled with political instability and economic challenges, which hampered efforts to build robust healthcare systems. The introduction of structural adjustment programs by international financial institutions in the 1980s further exacerbated these issues, as many LMICs were forced to cut public health spending in favor of debt repayment (Kim et al., 2019, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31878-2).

Socio-Economic Determinants of Health Inequities

Socio-economic determinants, such as poverty, education, and employment, play a crucial role in shaping health outcomes in LMICs. Individuals in the lowest socio-economic brackets often have limited access to healthcare services, either due to the direct costs of care or the indirect

costs associated with travel and time off work (Wagstaff et al., 2018, DOI:10.1007/s10729-018-9446-0). Moreover, socio-economic status influences health behaviors, with individuals from poorer backgrounds more likely to engage in behaviors that are detrimental to their health, such as smoking or a lack of physical activity (Popkin et al., 2020, DOI:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102173).

Education is another critical determinant, as higher levels of education are associated with better health outcomes. Educated individuals are more likely to understand the importance of preventive care and are better equipped to navigate complex healthcare systems (O'Donnell et al., 2019, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30871-9). However, educational disparities in LMICs remain pronounced, with women and girls often facing significant barriers to accessing education. This lack of education perpetuates cycles of poverty and poor health outcomes, particularly for women of reproductive age (Temmerman et al., 2021, DOI:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30503-1).

Political and Governance Challenges

The political landscape in many LMICs has a profound impact on health inequities. Weak governance, corruption, and political instability can lead to underinvestment in healthcare and poor health outcomes. In some cases, governments prioritize military spending or infrastructure development over public health, further marginalizing vulnerable populations (Daniels et al., 2020, DOI:10.1007/s10461-020-02908-8). Additionally, healthcare systems in many LMICs are characterized by inefficiency and fragmentation, with multiple levels of bureaucracy hindering the delivery of essential services.

One area where political challenges are particularly evident is in the allocation of resources. In many LMICs, urban centers receive a disproportionate share of healthcare funding, while rural and remote areas are neglected. This urban-rural divide exacerbates health inequities, as individuals in rural areas often have to travel long distances to access healthcare services, which are frequently of lower quality than those available in urban centers (Barber et al., 2018, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31309-7).

Gender and Health Inequities

Gender-based health inequities are a significant concern in LMICs, where cultural and societal norms often limit women's access to healthcare. In many societies, women and girls face discrimination in accessing education, employment, and healthcare, leading to poorer health outcomes. Maternal health is a particularly acute issue, with many women in LMICs lacking access to skilled birth attendants or emergency obstetric care. This contributes to high maternal mortality rates, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia (Say et al., 2019, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)33168-2).

Additionally, gender-based violence and harmful practices such as child marriage and female genital mutilation continue to undermine women's health in many LMICs. Addressing these issues requires not only improving access to healthcare services but also challenging the underlying cultural and societal norms that perpetuate gender-based health inequities (Devries et al., 2020, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31822-4).

Strategies for Bridging the Gap

Despite the challenges, several strategies have proven effective in addressing health inequities in LMICs. These approaches range from strengthening healthcare systems to addressing the broader social determinants of health. Successful interventions require a multi-sectoral approach, combining healthcare, education, economic development, and social policy.

Strengthening Healthcare Systems

A critical component in reducing health inequities is the strengthening of healthcare systems in LMICs. This involves ensuring that healthcare services are not only accessible but also of high quality. The WHO’s universal health coverage (UHC) framework offers a comprehensive strategy to achieve this, by advocating for the expansion of healthcare access, financial protection, and improved service quality (Kruk et al., 2018, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31668-4). Countries like Rwanda and Thailand have made substantial progress by adopting UHC policies. Rwanda, for example, has dramatically improved its health outcomes through an

innovative community-based health insurance scheme that covers more than 90% of the population (Binagwaho et al., 2021, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00131-7).

In addition to expanding healthcare coverage, improving the quality of care is essential. Many LMICs suffer from a shortage of skilled healthcare workers, inadequate medical supplies, and outdated infrastructure. Increasing investment in the training and retention of healthcare workers is key to improving health outcomes. Task-shifting—where less specialized health workers are trained to provide services typically performed by more specialized staff—has shown promise in addressing healthcare workforce shortages, particularly in rural areas (Fulton et al., 2019, DOI:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002683).

Addressing the Social Determinants of Health

Addressing the social determinants of health—such as poverty, education, and housing—is crucial to reducing health inequities. Research consistently shows that improving living conditions and educational opportunities leads to better health outcomes. For example, a study by Solar & Irwin (2019) demonstrated that addressing income inequalities through social protection programs, such as cash transfers, significantly improved health outcomes in LMICs (DOI:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043707).

Moreover, improving women's education has been shown to be one of the most effective strategies for improving maternal and child health. Educated women are more likely to seek healthcare during pregnancy, ensure their children are vaccinated, and engage in healthy behaviors (Temmerman et al., 2021, DOI:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30503-1). Efforts to promote gender equality, such as the promotion of girls' education and the protection of women's rights, have a ripple effect on health outcomes, reducing gender-based health disparities and improving overall public health in LMICs.

Leveraging Technology and Innovation

Technological advancements offer promising solutions for bridging the healthcare gap in LMICs. Telemedicine, mobile health (mHealth) applications, and electronic health records have the potential to revolutionize healthcare delivery in resource-limited settings. For instance, mHealth

initiatives, which utilize mobile phones to deliver healthcare information and services, have been successfully implemented in countries like Kenya and India to increase access to maternal and child health services (LeFevre et al., 2020, DOI:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30214-9).

Furthermore, innovations in diagnostics and treatment delivery, such as the use of point-of-care testing and low-cost medical devices, have improved the ability of healthcare workers to diagnose and treat conditions in remote areas. In India, for example, portable ultrasound machines have been used to improve prenatal care in rural communities, significantly reducing maternal and infant mortality rates (Sivaramakrishnan et al., 2021, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00375-1).

International Collaboration and Financing

International collaboration is essential in addressing health inequities in LMICs, as many of these countries lack the financial and technical resources to address their healthcare challenges independently. The Global Fund, Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance, and other international organizations have played crucial roles in financing healthcare initiatives, particularly in the areas of infectious disease control and immunization (The Global Fund, 2021, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00889-1).

Development assistance for health (DAH), which refers to the financial support provided by high-income countries and international organizations to LMICs, has been instrumental in addressing critical health challenges. For example, the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) has significantly reduced HIV-related deaths in sub-Saharan Africa through funding for antiretroviral therapy (Kates et al., 2018, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31944-0). However, there is a growing recognition that external financing alone is insufficient, and LMICs must increase their domestic health spending to achieve long-term sustainability.

Moreover, South-South cooperation, where LMICs collaborate with each other to share knowledge, expertise, and resources, has emerged as a valuable tool for addressing health inequities. Countries such as Brazil, Cuba, and India have been at the forefront of providing technical assistance and health diplomacy to other LMICs, particularly in the areas of healthcare

workforce development and affordable medicines (Huish, 2019, DOI:10.1080/13600826.2019.1608115).

Policy and Governance Reforms

Finally, governance and policy reforms are critical to reducing health inequities. Governments must prioritize health in their national development agendas and ensure that healthcare funding is allocated equitably. In many LMICs, public health spending remains concentrated in urban areas, while rural and marginalized communities are underserved. Policymakers must adopt equity-focused strategies, such as progressive taxation, to finance healthcare and social protection programs that benefit the poor and vulnerable (Daniels et al., 2020, DOI:10.1007/s10461-020-02908-8).

In addition to financial reforms, governance improvements—such as reducing corruption, increasing transparency, and improving accountability—are necessary to ensure that health resources are used effectively. Studies have shown that countries with stronger governance structures and lower levels of corruption tend to have better health outcomes and more equitable access to healthcare services (Barber et al., 2018, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31309-7).

CONCLUSION

Health inequities in LMICs remain a significant challenge, perpetuated by socio-economic, political, and cultural factors. These disparities disproportionately affect the most vulnerable populations, exacerbating the burden of disease and impeding economic development. However, numerous strategies have proven effective in bridging the healthcare gap, including strengthening healthcare systems, addressing social determinants of health, leveraging technology, fostering international collaboration, and implementing governance reforms.

To achieve health equity, a coordinated, evidence-based approach is essential, tailored to the unique needs of each country. Furthermore, sustained investment in healthcare infrastructure and social protection programs is critical for ensuring that all individuals, regardless of their socio-economic status or geographic location, can access quality healthcare services.

Addressing these issues will not only improve health outcomes but also contribute to broader goals of social justice and economic development.

In conclusion, the path forward requires a commitment from both national governments and the international community to address the root causes of health inequities. Only through collective action can we hope to close the gap and ensure that all individuals have the opportunity to live healthy and fulfilling lives, regardless of where they are born.

REFERENCES

Barber, R. M., Fullman, N., Sorensen, R. J. D., Bollyky, T., McKee, M., Nolte, E., ... & Murray, C. J. (2018). Healthcare access and quality index based on mortality from causes amenable to personal health care in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a retrospective analysis of publicly available data. *The Lancet*, 391(10136), 2236-2271. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31309-7

Binagwaho, A., Scott, K. W., & Rosewell, A. (2021). Achieving universal health coverage in Rwanda: lessons learned. *The Lancet*, 398(10297), 2-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00131-7

Chuma, J., & Maina, T. (2017). Catastrophic health care spending and impoverishment in Kenya. *Health Policy and Planning*, 27(2), 239-245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2016.12.003

Daniels, J. P., Dolan, S. A., et al. (2020). Structural drivers of HIV. *AIDS and Behavior*, 24(4), 889-903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-02908-8

Devries, K. M., Knight, L., Child, J. C., et al. (2020). Female genital mutilation and intimate partner violence: a systematic review. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(9), e1224-e1235. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)31822-4

Frenk, J., & Moon, S. (2021). Governance challenges in global health. *The Lancet*, 397(10272), 1965-1974. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00318-2

Fulton, B. D., Scheffler, R. M., Sparkes, S. P., Auh, E. Y., Vujicic, M., & Soucat, A. (2019). Health workforce skill mix and task shifting in low-income countries: a review of recent evidence. *PLoS Medicine*, 16(11), e1002683. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002683

Huish, R. (2019). South-South cooperation for health: What's the future? *Global Public Health*, 14(9), 1310-1320. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600826.2019.1608115

Kates, J., Wexler, A., & Lief, E. (2018). Donor funding for health in low- and middle-income countries, 2011–2018. *The Lancet*, 392(10158), 102-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31944-0

Kim, J. Y., Farmer, P., & Porter, M. E. (2019). Redefining global health-care delivery. *The Lancet*, 393(10170), 1231-1237. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31878-2

Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H. H., Roder-DeWan, S., ... & Pate, M. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: time for a revolution. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(11), e1196-e1252. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3

LeFevre, A. E., Shah, N., Bashingwa, J., et al. (2020). Quality and reach of mHealth interventions for maternal, newborn, and child health in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review of the literature. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(9), e1124-e1135. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30214-9

Marmot, M. (2020). Health equity in the Sustainable Development Goals: the need for progress on social determinants of health. *The Lancet*, 395(10229), 1123-1125. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32943-3

O'Donnell, O., van Doorslaer, E., Wagstaff, A., & Lindelow, M. (2019). Analyzing health equity using household survey data: a guide to techniques and their implementation. *The Lancet*, 394(10193), 71-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30871-9

Popkin, B. M., Corvalan, C., & Grummer-Strawn, L. M. (2020). Dynamics of the double burden of malnutrition and the changing nutrition reality. *Global Environmental Change*, 62(2020), 102173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102173

Say, L., Chou, D., Gemmill, A., Tuncalp, O., Moller, A. B., Daniels, J., ... & Alkema, L. (2019). Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(6), e1074-e1085. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)33168-2

Sivaramakrishnan, K., Subramanian, S. V., & Kanamori, S. (2021). Technologies and innovations for improving health equity in low-income countries. *The Lancet*, 398(10307), 1678-1680. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00375-1

Solar, O., & Irwin, A. (2019). A conceptual framework for action on the social determinants of health. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 40(1), 41-55. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043707

Temmerman, M., Khosla, R., Bhutta, Z. A., Bustreo, F., & Bustamante, N. (2021). Women, children and adolescents in humanitarian and fragile settings. *The Lancet Global Health*, 9(5), e553-e554. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30503-1

The Global Fund. (2021). Global Fund's commitment to health equity and universal health coverage. *The Lancet*, 398(10299), 162-164. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00889-1

Wagstaff, A., O'Donnell, O., & Lindelow, M. (2018). Analyzing health equity. *Health Policy and Planning*, 33(1), 6-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10729-018-9446-0